.	Robert A. Rosette, Esq. SBN 224437
1	ROSETTE & ASSOCIATES 193 Blue Ravine Road, Suite 255
2	Folsom, California 95630
	Tel: (916) 353-1084
3	Fax: (916) 353-1085
4	Email: rosette@rosettelaw.com
4	Manuel Corrales, Jr., Esq. SBN 117647
5 11	Attorney at Law
	17140 Bernardo Center Drive, Suite 370 San Diego, California 92128
6	Tel: (858) 521-0634
7	$1 r_{2} v \cdot (858) 521 - 0633$
	Email: mannycorrales@yahoo.com
8	Terry Singleton, Esq. SBN 58316
9	STNGLETON & ASSOCIATES
	11950 Fifth Avenue, Suite 200
10	San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 239-3225
11	Fax: (619) 702-5592
	Email: terry@terrysingleton.com
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff
13	CALIFORNIA VALLEY MIWOK TRIBE
14	
15	OF CALLEODNIA
	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO - CENTRAL DISTRICT
16	COONII OF BIEN 21200
17	
18	CALIFORNIA VALLEY MIWOK TRIBE Case No.37-2008-00075326-CU-CO-CTL
10	PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSES TO
19	REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF
20	DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY
20	INTERVENORS, SET ONE
21	VS. Dept: 62
22	Judge: Hon. Ronald Styn
22	CALIFORNIA GAMBLING CONTROL Trial Date: June 4, 2013
23	
2.4	COMMISSION,
24	
25	Defendant.
20	
26	
27	
	II

PROPOUNDING PARTY: INTERVENORS

RESPONDING PARTY: PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA VALLEY MIWOK TRIBE

SET NO: ONE

# REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

All DOCUMENTS that DISCUSS YOUR first cause of action in the FAC.

#### RESPONSE:

Objection: The written discovery is irrelevant, improper and propounded without a prior court order, based upon the following grounds:

On March 11, 2011, the trial court granted the Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the court's previous order granting intervention and denied intervention. The ruling was based in part on a December 22, 2010 decision from the Assistant Secretary of Interior ("ASI"), which had concluded that the Miwok Tribe is a federally-recognized tribe consisting of five members with a recognized governing body established under a 1998 Tribal Resolution. The ASI further ruled that the U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs ("BIA") could not require the Tribe to expand its membership against its will.

After the March 11, 2011 order denying intervention, the ASI set aside its decision to allow for further briefing on the issues, which prompted the trial court in this case to enter an April 20, 2011 ex parte order staying the "effect" of the court's March 11, 2011 order denying

intervention. When the ASI issued its final decision on August 31, 2011, affirming its December 22, 2010, decision, this court stayed all further proceedings in this case, except for discovery, pending resolution of a challenge to the ASI's August 31, 2011 decision by the Intervenors in this case, Yakima Dixie ("Dixie") and his followers.

The Court of Appeal decision granting Plaintiff's petition directing the trial court to lift its stay applies with equal force to the trial court's April 20, 2011 ex parte order staying the effect of its March 11, 2011 order. Accordingly, the Intervenors have been dismissed by virtue of the Court of Appeal decision directing the trial court to lift it stay of these proceedings, which stay is based on the trial court's April 20, 2011 order staying the effect of its March 11, 2011 order granting reconsideration and denying intervention. Since the Intervenors have been dismissed from this case, they have no authority to conduct discovery, and the written discovery just propounded is improper.

## REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

All DOCUMENTS that DISCUSS YOUR second cause of action in the FAC.

#### RESPONSE:

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

## REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

All DOCUMENTS that DISCUSS YOUR third cause of action in the FAC.

#### RESPONSE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

# REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

All DOCUMENTS that DISCUSS YOUR fourth cause of action in the FAC.

#### RESPONSE:

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

# REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

All DOCUMENTS identified in response to Special Interrogatory No. 16.

#### RESPONSE:

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

## REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

All DOCUMENTS identified in response to Special Interrogatory No. 3.

#### RESPONSE:

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

# REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

All DOCUMENTS identified in response to Special Interrogatory No. 6.

#### RESPONSE:

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

# REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

All DOCUMENTS identified in response to Special Interrogatory No. 9.

### RESPONSE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

# REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

All DOCUMENTS identified in response to Special Interrogatory No. 12.

#### RESPONSE:

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

# REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

The original of Yakima Dixie's resignation as Chairperson of the TRIBE on or about April 20, 1999.

#### RESPONSE:

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

### REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

The original of YOUR Resolution GC-98-01.

### RESPONSE:

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

# REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

A copy of YOUR current roster of members.

#### RESPONSE:

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

# REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

YOUR most recent budget for purposes of obtaining federal funds under Public Law 93-638.

#### RESPONSE:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

28

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

# REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

All DOCUMENTS that DISCUSS any communications which YOU have had with the COMMISSION.

#### RESPONSE:

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

# REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

All DOCUMENTS that DISCUSS any communications which YOU have had with United States Department of the Interior (which includes the Bureau of Indian Affairs).

#### RESPONSE:

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

### REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

All DOCUMENTS that DISCUSS any communications which YOU have had with former Assistant Secretary Larry Echo Hawk.

### RESPONSE:

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

### REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

All DOCUMENTS that DISCUSS YOUR contention that the TRIBE established a governing body pursuant to Resolution GC-98-01.

#### RESPONSE:

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

### REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

All DOCUMENTS that DISCUSS YOUR contention that Resolution GC-98-01 was approved by a majority of the adult members of the TRIBE.

#### RESPONSE:

See response to Request for Production No. 1 above.

Dated: March 7, 2013

Manuel Corrales, Jr., Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff CALIFORNIA VALLEY MIWOK TRIBE