

**EXHIBIT H**

**to**

**Affidavit of Robert J. Uram in Support of Plaintiffs'  
Motion for Preliminary Injunction**

**Letter from Michael Olsen, Acting Assistant Secretary – Indian Affairs, to Yakima Dixie  
(Feb. 11, 2005) (the "2005 Decision")**



## United States Department of the Interior

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
Washington, D.C. 20240

FEB 11 2005

Mr. Yakima K. Dixie  
Sheep Ranch Rancheria of MiWok Indians of California  
11178 Sheep Ranch Rd.  
P.O. Box 41  
Sheep Ranch, California 95250

Dear Mr. Dixie:

I am writing in response to your appeal filed with the office of the Assistant Secretary – Indian Affairs on October 30, 2003. In deciding this appeal, I am exercising authority delegated to me from the Assistant Secretary – Indian Affairs pursuant to 209 DM 8.3 and 110 DM 8.2. In that appeal, you challenged the Bureau of Indian Affairs' ("BIA") recognition of Sylvia Burley as tribal Chairman and sought to "nullify" her admission, and the admission of her daughter and granddaughters into your Tribe. Although your appeal raises many difficult issues, I must dismiss it on procedural grounds.

Your appeal of the BIA's recognition of Ms. Burley as tribal Chairman has been rendered moot by the BIA's decision of March 26, 2004, a copy of which is enclosed, rejecting the Tribe's proposed constitution. In that letter, the BIA made clear that the Federal government did not recognize Ms. Burley as the tribal Chairman. Rather, the BIA would recognize her as "a person of authority within California Valley Miwok Tribe." Until such time as the Tribe has organized, the Federal government can recognize no one, including yourself, as the tribal Chairman. I encourage you, either in conjunction with Ms. Burley, other tribal members, or potential tribal members, to continue your efforts to organize the Tribe along the lines outlined in the March 26, 2004, letter so that the Tribe can become organized and enjoy the full benefits of Federal recognition. The first step in organizing the Tribe is identifying putative tribal members. If you need guidance or assistance, Ray Fry, (916) 930-3794, of the Central California Agency of the BIA can advise you how to go about doing this.

In addition, your appeal to my office was procedurally defective because it raised issues that had not been raised at lower levels of the administrative appeal process. In May 2003, you contacted the BIA to request assistance in preparing an appeal of the BIA's recognition of Ms. Burley as tribal Chairman. You specifically stated that you were not filing a formal Notice of Appeal. In June 2003, you filed an "Appeal of inaction of official," pursuant to 25 C.F.R. §2.8, with the Central California Agency Superintendent challenging the BIA's failure to respond to your request for assistance. In August 2003, you filed another "Appeal of inaction of official"

with the Acting Regional Director challenging the failure of the Superintendent to respond to your appeal of the BIA's inaction. Your appeal with my office, however, was not an "Appeal of inaction of official." Rather, your "Notice of Appeal" challenged the BIA's recognition of Ms. Burley as tribal Chairman and sought to nullify the Tribe's adoption of her and her family members. Those issues were not raised below. They are not, therefore, properly before me.

In addition, your appeal appears to be untimely. In 1999, you first challenged the BIA's recognition of Ms. Burley as Chairman of the Tribe. In February 2000, the BIA informed you that it defers to tribal resolution of such issues. On July 18, 2001, you filed a lawsuit against Ms. Burley in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California challenging her purported leadership of the Tribe. On January 24, 2002, the district court dismissed your lawsuit, without prejudice and with leave to amend, because you had not exhausted your administrative remedies by appealing the BIA's February 2000 decision. After the court's January 24, 2002, order, you should have pursued your administrative remedies with the BIA. Instead, you waited almost a year and a half, until June 2003, before raising your claim with the Bureau. As a result of your delay in pursuing your administrative appeal after the court's January 24, 2002, order, your appeal before me is time barred.

In light of the BIA's letter of March 26, 2004, that the Tribe is not an organized tribe, however, the BIA does not recognize any tribal government, and therefore, cannot defer to any tribal dispute resolution process at this time. I understand that a Mr. Troy M. Woodward has held himself out as an Administrative Hearing Officer for the Tribe and purported to conduct a hearing to resolve your complaint against Ms. Burley. Please be advised that the BIA does not recognize Mr. Woodward as a tribal official or his hearing process as a legitimate tribal forum. Should other issues arise with respect to tribal leadership or membership in the future, therefore, your appeal would properly lie exclusively with the BIA.

Sincerely,



Michael D. Olsen  
Principal Deputy  
Acting Assistant Secretary - Indian Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Sylvia Burley  
Troy M. Woodward, Esq.  
Thomas W. Wolfrum, Esq.  
Chadd Everone