

WALKER LAW LLC

112 South Royal Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

November 12, 2009

Mr. Robert A. Rosette
Rosette & Associates
565 West Chandler Boulevard, Suite 212
Chandler, Arizona 85225

Dear Mr. Rosette:

On October 21, 2009, you called me at my offices and introduced yourself as the attorney representing Ms. Sylvia Burley and the California Valley Miwok Tribe. You then asked who represented Yakima Dixie although you had contacted me as an attorney of record. I responded that I found it difficult to believe you were not aware of the extensive record in the case, that you should well know there was a team of attorney's that had collaborated on his representation; Tim Vollmann and I have represented him in US District Court in DC; Peter Melnicoe and Tom Wolfrum in California. In fact this year your firm on behalf of Sylvia Burley litigated against Attorney Wolfrum who represented Yakima Dixie in California State Court over the security of Tribal records. You did not disclose in that call that your firm intended to contact Yakima Dixie.

On November 6, 2009 Attorney Wolfrum received a call from Kevin Cochrane of your law firm informing him that Rosette & Associates was now representing Yakima Dixie and demanded to be at the meeting Attorney Wolfrum had previously arranged with Yakima Dixie on November 9, 2009 to discuss his parole hearing. Apparently, without prior notice to the any of the attorney's representing Yakima Dixie, your client Sylvia Burley wrote a letter to the Deuel Vocational Institute (DVI), where Yakima Dixie has been detain since October for the use of Alcohol in violation of his parole. This letter stated she was the authority of the California Valley Miwok Tribe, and requested a meeting between Yakima Dixie (an enrolled member of her Tribe) and the Tribe's attorney Kevin Cochrane. Attorney Cochrane in your firm was then given permission to visited Yakima Dixie and did so on November 2 and 5, 2009.


In the meeting that was held on November 9, 2009 with Attorneys Cochrane and Wolfrum and witnessed by Deputy Gamblin from the DVI and Chadd Everone, Yakima Dixie said he was never told that Attorney Cochrane's firm also represented Sylvia Burley. Yakima Dixie stated that Attorney Cochrane had promised him he could be released sooner, if your firm represented him before the parole board. However, upon learning on November 9th, that Attorney Cochrane worked for the firm that represented Sylvia Burley, Yakima Dixie made clear to Attorney Cochrane that he did not want your firm representing him and that his Attorney was Thomas Wolfrum. After a strong protest

from Attorney Cochrane, that lead to his removal from the room by Deputy Gamblin papers were then signed by Yakima Dixie revoking any agreement he had executed with your firm. Thomas Wolfrum now remains Yakima Dixie's attorney in California legal matters. He has represented Yakima Dixie since 2003 under written retainer.

This letter is to request that no further direct contact with Yakima Dixie is made by you or those attorney's associated with your firm. California State Bar rules are clear about disclosure of conflicts of interest and the avoidance of direct contact with parties represented by an attorney. It should not be necessary for me to further explain what is commonly understood as the standard of ethical practice. Yakima Dixie and Sylvia Burley have been adverse to each other in various judicial forums including the pending Appeal before the IBIA. Ms. Burley's bogus attempts to disenroll or re enroll Yakima Dixie into his own Tribe, has no bearing on whether the rules require disclosure of conflicts, or contact through attorneys.

If you wish to discuss matters related to the Appeal before the IBIA or Yakima Dixie's current circumstances at Deuel Vocational Institution in California contact me or Attorney Wolfrum.

Sincerely,



Elizabeth T. Walker